UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	Case No. 4:17-cr-00093-RK-1
v.	ORDER ON MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)
Loren B Clarkson-White	(COMPASSIONATE RELEASE)
Upon motion of the defendant	the Director of the Bureau of Prisons for a
reduction in sentence under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), and after considering the applicable
factors provided in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and th	e applicable policy statements issued by the
Sentencing Commission,	
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:	
GRANTED	
The defendant's previously impose	ed sentence of imprisonment of is reduced to
. If this sentence is less than the amount	of time the defendant already served, the sentence
is reduced to a time served; or	
Time served.	
If the defendant's sentence is reduced t	o time served:
This order is stayed for	up to fourteen days, for the verification of the
defendant's residence ar	nd/or establishment of a release plan, to make
appropriate travel arrang	gements, and to ensure the defendant's safe
release. The defendant s	shall be released as soon as a residence is verified,
a release plan is establis	hed, appropriate travel arrangements are made,

	and it is safe for the defendant to travel. There shall be no delay in	
	ensuring travel arrangements are made. If more than fourteen days are	
	needed to make appropriate travel arrangements and ensure the	
	defendant's safe release, the parties shall immediately notify the court and	
	show cause why the stay should be extended; or	
	There being a verified residence and an appropriate release plan in place,	
	this order is stayed for up to fourteen days to make appropriate travel	
	arrangements and to ensure the defendant's safe release. The defendant	
	shall be released as soon as appropriate travel arrangements are made and	
	it is safe for the defendant to travel. There shall be no delay in ensuring	
	travel arrangements are made. If more than fourteen days are needed to	
	make appropriate travel arrangements and ensure the defendant's safe	
	release, then the parties shall immediately notify the court and show cause	
	why the stay should be extended.	
The defendant must provide the complete address where the defendant will reside		
upon release to the probation office in the district where they will be released because it		
was not included in the motion for sentence reduction.		
Under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), the defendant is ordered to serve a "special term"		
of probation	on or supervised release of months (not to exceed the unserved	
portion of the	original term of imprisonment).	
The defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release apply to		
the "special term" of supervision; or		
The conditions of the "special term" of supervision are as follows:		

The defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release are unchanged.
The defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release are modified as
follows:
DEFERRED pending supplemental briefing and/or a hearing. The court DIRECTS the
United States Attorney to file a response on or before , along with all Bureau of Prisons
records (medical, institutional, administrative) relevant to this motion.
DENIED after complete review of the motion on the merits.
FACTORS CONSIDERED (Optional)

The Court considers Mr. Clarkson-White's medical condition, the ongoing pandemic in relation to his medical conditions, the crime of conviction, remaining sentence to be served, rehabilitation efforts, and the danger the defendant poses to the community. The Court finds that Mr. Clarkson-White does establish extraordinary and compelling reasons exist for early release. However, the Court finds he remains a danger to the community Therefore, his motion for compassionate release is DENIED.

Furthermore, defendant requests appointment of counsel to further pursue a motion for compassionate release. (Doc. 116) The Eighth Circuit has determined that in proceedings under § 3582(c) there is no constitutional right to the appointment of counsel, nor is there a statutory right to counsel available under 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(c). United States v. Harris, 568 F.3d 666, 669 (8th Cir. 2009); See also United States v. Wilson, 5:08-CR-50051-KES, 2019 WL 7372975 at *3 (Dec. 31, 2019), (where the South Dakota United States District Court, citing United States v. Webb, 565 F 3d 789, 793-95 (11th Cir. 2009), found that the defendant did not have the right to

counsel for a motion for compassionate relea	ase). The motion for appointment of counsel i
DENIED.	
DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE	because the defendant has not exhausted all
administrative remedies as required in 18 U.S.C	C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), nor have 30 days lapsed since
receipt of the defendant's request by the warder	n of the defendant's facility.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated:	
12/10/20	Roseann A. Ketchmark
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT HIDGE